

20020517.qrp v02\_n558.qrl.20020517

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 19:03:10 EDT  
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: QRP-L digest 2558

QRP-L Digest 2558

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [126784] Back in WI through the 23rd  
by "Trevor Jacobs" <fxtech@earthlink.net>
- 2) [126785] W8PIG/FDIM now qrv  
by Paul Womble <pwomble1@tampabay.rr.com>
- 3) [126786] Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all  
Citizens  
by "Marty N5NW" <n5nw@n5nw.org>
- 4) [126787] FS- two small dual section variable caps \$12.00ppd  
by RLucch2098@aol.com
- 5) [126788] Covenants  
by "W2WU" <w2wurjj@verizon.net>
- 6) [126789] FS: Measurement Corp GDO Model 59  
by "John Grow" <jgrow@sympatico.ca>
- 7) [126790] Have fun at FDIM!  
by "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>
- 8) [126791] RE: MFJ-259B, new question  
by Dan Tayloe <dtayloe@cox.net>
- 9) [126792] Boy Scouts  
by <brad.mugleston@attbi.com>
- 10) [126793] Re: Boy Scouts  
by "Brian P. Mileschosky" <n5zgt@swcp.com>
- 11) [126794] My first CW DX!!!!  
by Wayne Scace <WM-Scace@wiu.edu>
- 12) [126795] NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...  
by Conrad Weiss <radman@best.com>
- 13) [126796] Re: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...  
by "Dave Fifield" <dave@redhotradio.com>
- 14) [126797] RE: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc... <correction!>  
by Conrad Weiss <radman@best.com>
- 15) [126798] An invitation + [OT], [FS], & [QQ]  
by George Gingell <k3tks@u1.abs.net>
- 16) [126799] Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all  
Citizens  
by "John Dorson" <jdorson@Worldshare.net>
- 17) [126800] Re: QRL Syndrome  
by "Charles Mabbott" <aa8vs@msn.com>
- 18) [126801] Was Covenants, lets change to stealth

- by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 19) [126802] switch wafer  
by "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
- 20) [126803] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
by "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
- 21) [126804] Re: Covenants  
by "Paul Christensen" <w9ac@arrl.net>
- 22) [126805] Re: Covenants  
by W2AGN <w2agn@w2agn.net>
- 23) [126806] CCR's & QRP  
by "Conant, Paul" <paul.conant@lmco.com>
- 24) [126807] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 25) [126808] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by tailfeathers@juno.com
- 26) [126809] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 27) [126810] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>
- 28) [126811] Reasonable Accommodation  
by Kenneth Hoglund <hoglund@wfu.edu>
- 29) [126812] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 30) [126813] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by "Paul Christensen" <w9ac@arrl.net>
- 31) [126814] QRL thang  
by anthony@pacinfosb.com
- 32) [126815] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 33) [126816] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by Rich <rich@mulveyfamily.com>
- 34) [126817] Remember all the discussion of that antenna?  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 35) [126818] Where is Doug?  
by "Doug Hendricks" <ki6ds@dph.dpol.net>
- 36) [126819] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>
- 37) [126820] FDIM Seminar NJQRP Antenna Analyzer  
by "James P. Osburn, P.E." <j.p.osburn@ieee.org>
- 38) [126821] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>
- 39) [126822] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by "Brad Hernlem" <alihernlem@hotmail.com>
- 40) [126823] Re: CCR's & QRP  
by "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>
- 41) [126824] Catch the Live Hamvention '02 Streaming Webcast... !  
by Conrad Weiss <radman@best.com>
- 42) [126825] Re: CCR's & QRP

- by "Charles W3KC" <w3kc@starpower.net>
- 43) [126826] Dayton report: Satellite Number Three  
by nilsbull@juno.com
- 44) [126827] DROP TESTING: K1Touch Up paint needed  
by BOB MASON <skydive@usa.net>
- 45) [126828] Re: Reasonable Accommodation  
by "Bruce Shaw" <ag4ny@ivwnet.com>
- 46) [126829] W8PIG Special Event Station Tonight  
by Paul Womble <pwomble1@tampabay.rr.com>
- 47) [126830] Re: [fpqrp] W8PIG Special Event Station Tonight  
by Macstein@aol.com
- 48) [126831] Re: Reasonable Accommodation  
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 49) [126832] NH AT, Saturday or maybe Sunday  
by Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
- 50) [126833] NorCal BLT  
by Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
- 51) [126834] Manuals/schematics of SWB30  
by "Juan A. Bertolin" <ea5xq@qsl.net>

-----

Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 18:18:34 -0700  
From: "Trevor Jacobs" <fxtech@earthlink.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126784] Back in WI through the 23rd  
Message-ID: <001d01c1fd40\$c45dcb20\$b830bd3f@tjnotebook>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Gang,

I'm back working in Middleton, WI through the 23rd flying back to Burbank on the 24th. I've got the prototype of the DDS Signal Generator with me, so if anyone is in the area and wants to check it out, send me an e-mail. Take care...

73's Trev KG6CYN

-----

Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 21:28:09 -0400  
From: Paul Womble <pwomble1@tampabay.rr.com>  
To: FP List <fpqrp-l@mpna.com>, QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [126785] W8PIG/FDIM now qrv  
Message-ID: <3CE45CA9.FEF3A20F@tampabay.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

W8PIG is qrv on 7043.00 from FDIM.

Give us a call!

Paul K4FB/8  
Mike KD5KXF/8

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 21:48:47 -0400  
From: "Marty N5NW" <n5nw@n5nw.org>  
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126786] Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all Citizens  
Message-ID: <NGBBIMJEELMGMFPMHEAGCEHFCAAA.n5nw@n5nw.org>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Two issues:

First, lots of deed restrictions and covenants have been vacated either through legislation or judicial action. Thankfully, the government decided that discrimination in housing was not in the public interest. Hopefully they will decide that volunteer public service is in the public interest as well.

Second, one can make the case that a home is less valuable because of the covenants and deed restrictions (anything that impacts marketability makes an asset less valuable). Wonder how long it would take the government to act if the tax base of a neighborhood were eroded by, say, 15%? I'd imagine the deed restrictions and covenants would be gone fairly quickly. (Yes, I was an appraiser for a while)

If all covenants allowed antennas, but limited them to 20 feet over the house, it wouldn't be much of an issue in my opinion. But I haven't seen too many of those. They are mostly outright prohibitions. Things like "can't be visible from the street" are the norm. If PRB-1 is the law (and it is) for local governments, then homeowners associations should not be allowed to be more restrictive than the local government. Homeowners

associations are treated as a governmental entity under the laws of most states, with limitations on their behavior.

Condominiums are a bit different, because there is common ownership of the grounds. But a single-family dwelling owns the land, and is (in my opinion) different. What if you bought your home, but only leased the land from the developer? That is (in effect) what you are doing with covenants and restrictions, because the developer/homeowners association is limiting your use of your land.

--

73 de N5NW/8 (Marty)  
Bellbrook, Ohio

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 22:08:53 EDT  
From: RLucch2098@aol.com  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126787] FS- two small dual section variable caps \$12.00ppd  
Message-ID: <43.b953da6.2a15c035@aol.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Fellas;  
I have for SALE:  
Two(2) small variable caps to build a tuner, etc!  
The picture tells the rest>  
<A HREF="http://www.myradioroom.com/2smallcaps.jpg">  
http://www.myradioroom.com/2smallcaps.jpg</A>  
Both values found with a B&K Digital RLC meter!  
Price is \$12.00ppd!  
Thanks es 73....Rich WA2RQY (1961)  
RLUCCH2098@aol.com  
"Keep those heaters on"

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 22:47:01 -0400  
From: "W2WU" <w2wurjj@verizon.net>  
To: <n5nw@n5nw.org>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126788] Covenants  
Message-ID: <001001c1fd4d\$5d5141c0\$71c2fea9@w2wu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Is there a lawyer or VC around?  
Can a municipality running a HUD Senior Center preclude external transmitting antennas?  
Their lease states "No external Antennas Use Master TV antenna."  
Is the municipality and or HUD in violation of any Statutes?  
Are they abrogating our First Amendment Rights?  
This will be my only post on there reflector. Send private e-mail. Tnx es  
73, Ron W2WU

----- Original Message -----

From: Marty N5NW <n5nw@n5nw.org>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: 16 May, 2002 21:48  
Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all Citizens

> Two issues:  
>  
> First, lots of deed restrictions and covenants have been vacated either  
> through legislation or judicial action. Thankfully, the government  
decided  
> that discrimination in housing was not in the public interest. Hopefully  
> they will decide that volunteer public service is in the public interest  
as  
> well.  
>  
> Second, one can make the case that a home is less valuable because of the  
> covenants and deed restrictions (anything that impacts marketability makes  
> an asset less valuable). Wonder how long it would take the government to  
> act if the tax base of a neighborhood were eroded by, say, 15%? I'd  
imagine  
> the deed restrictions and covenants would be gone fairly quickly. (Yes, I  
> was an appraiser for a while)  
>  
> If all covenants allowed antennas, but limited them to 20 feet over the  
> house, it wouldn't be much of an issue in my opinion. But I haven't seen  
> too many of those. They are mostly outright prohibitions. Things like  
> "can't be visible from the street" are the norm. If PRB-1 is the law (and  
> it is) for local governments, then homeowners associations should not be  
> allowed to be more restrictive than the local government. Homeowners  
> associations are treated as a governmental entity under the laws of most  
> states, with limitations on their behavior.  
>  
> Condominiums are a bit different, because there is common ownership of the

> grounds. But a single-family dwelling owns the land, and is (in my  
opinion)  
> different. What if you bought your home, but only leased the land from  
the  
> developer? That is (in effect) what you are doing with covenants and  
> restrictions, because the developer/homeowners association is limiting  
your  
> use of your land.  
>  
> --  
> 73 de N5NW/8 (Marty)  
> Bellbrook, Ohio  
>  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 23:09:01 -0400  
From: "John Grow" <jgrow@sympatico.ca>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126789] FS: Measurement Corp GDO Model 59  
Message-ID: <004d01c1fd50\$31bf0da0\$8377fea9@john>

Hello to the group,

I have a very nice condition Measurement Corporation Model 59 Grid Dip  
oscillator for sale. It is in very nice condition, non smoker, with all the  
coils, a copy of the manual. It works fine. Pictures available upon request.  
I also have another unit, but with some missing coils, the unit is in fair  
shape. These will be sold as a pair. They are available for \$ 125.00 plus  
shipping CONUS.  
John

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 23:40:49 -0400 (EDT)  
From: "Scott Rosenfeld [N7JI]" <ham@w3eax.umd.edu>  
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126790] Have fun at FDI!  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0205162340090.474-100000@w3eax.umd.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

If you're at FDIM, why are you reading your e-mail?

GET BACK TO PLAYING!!!

Have a great time!

--

Scott Rosenfeld ARS N7JI  
541-684-9970 Eugene, OR Land o' much rain  
If you find me on the air, I'm probably in my car  
ham@w3eax.umd.edu <http://w3eax.umd.edu/~ham>

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 21:33:38 -0700  
From: Dan Tayloe <dtayloe@cox.net>  
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [126791] RE: MFJ-259B, new question  
Message-ID: <3CE48822.9EA9104C@cox.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>>Wow, that's interesting. I just can't believe the MFJ (or any other SWR  
>>meter) can tell that the impedance it sees is from a base loaded antenna  
>>instead of something else ... so I'd have to throw out some other wild  
>>guesses.

>There is a known weakness in the MFJ series of antenna analyzers. They  
>are susceptible to nearby radio transmitters, particularly nearby AM  
>radio transmitters.

>The antenna under test acts to funnel the AM BC signal into the detector,  
>which then gives unusual results.

Yep, been there, done that. My house is 1 mile from a whole pile of  
transmitters  
(FM, TV, cellular, and pagers) placed on top a mountain. I tried tuning  
a 40m horizontal j-pole (1/2 wave end feed with taped 300 ohm ribbon  
line)  
with the antenna analyzer from a nearby foothill. I was using the MFJ  
to  
tune it. I spent an entire afternoon playing with it and never did end  
up  
with anything close to a match.

That is how I found out about the interference problem.....



- Dan Tayloe, N7VE; Phoenix, Az; Az ScQRPions

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 22:41:38 -0600 (MDT)  
From: <brad.mugleston@attbi.com>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Cc: brad mugleston <bmug@gwl.com>  
Subject: [126792] Boy Scouts  
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0205162238280.9600-100000@mugleston.mugs.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Well it went over great last night. We went for the full 45 minutes and no one fell asleep. There were a few questions after and during. I don't know if I had any takers but we will see.

Thanks for all the help.

de KI00T, Brad

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 22:47:01 -0600  
From: "Brian P. Mileschosky" <n5zgt@swcp.com>  
To: <brad.mugleston@attbi.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126793] Re: Boy Scouts  
Message-ID: <001101c1fd5d\$e682c440\$2500b8d8@hlw11>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Brad,

Excellent! Remember...your presentation isn't complete. Follow up with them, and get some of those youth into our hobby. We need them. Elmer them.

72,  
Brian, N5ZGT  
Albuquerque, NM  
[www.swcp.com/~n5zgt](http://www.swcp.com/~n5zgt)

----- Original Message -----

From: <brad.mugleston@attbi.com>

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2002 10:41 PM

Subject: Boy Scouts

> Well it went over great last night. We went for the full 45 minutes and  
> no one fell asleep. There were a few questions after and during. I don't  
> know if I had any takers but we will see.

>

> Thanks for all the help.

>

> de KI00T, Brad

>

>

-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 05:04:23 +0100

From: Wayne Scace <WM-Scace@wiu.edu>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [126794] My first CW DX!!!!

Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.0.20020517050312.02abf868@POP3.wiu.edu>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Gang,

Wayne K9DI es Leader Dog Sequoia here. I finally did it!!! I  
snagged some DX with CW!! I worked 9A4NC (Croatia) with my K2 (SN00177)  
pushing 5 watts through a gusher dipole in inverted V configuration!!! 599  
both ways at 0100hrs z!!

72 es M00/00

de

Wayne K9DI

Respectfully and Sincerely Yours,

Wayne M. Scace

k9di@arrl.net

k9di@qsl.net

LICQ# 315313

FISTS# 4409

QRP-L# 2313

QRPP-L# 243  
FPQRP-L# 217  
SOC# 452  
ARS # 1,082  
Zombie # 800  
<http://www.qsl.net/k9di>

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 22:41:58 -0700  
From: Conrad Weiss <radman@best.com>  
To: "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126795] NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...  
Message-ID: <01C1FD2A.E3F320E0@209-162-48-86.thegrid.net>

Gangue,

Well... those of us who couldn't go to Dayton gotta have something to do, eh? So, with all the interest in the BLT ATU innards, I surfed around and got the goods on the BLT. Now, you might ask ... "would Hendricks et al have a problem with publishing the schemo up for all to see?" I doubt it, as it's \*already\* on the web, courtesy of Al Gore and Monty Northrup/N5FC.

On his web page, Monty takes us on a guided tour of the brilliant design work of BLT creator, Charlie Lofgren, W6JJZ.

Monty's done a great job of capturing the art of Charlie Lofgren; arguably one of the greatest ATU wizards in the design biz'. You could easily roll your own BLT from the info provided, OR... you could [when Hendricks returns] order a BLT kit from NorCal for \$29 shipped. All of the URLs are listed below:

N5FC Schematic URL: [http://www.io.com/~n5fc/blt\\_sch.jpg](http://www.io.com/~n5fc/blt_sch.jpg)

N5FC BLT page URL: <http://www.io.com/~n5fc/blt.htm>

URL: <http://www.fix.net/~jparkernorcal/kits/kits.htm>

OR... you could take advantage of Emtech's "Dayton Hamvention Sale" on their classic ZM-2 - now with a pre-drilled front panel - at a mere \$60 'til the Hamvention's over! So call 'em up and get the hot Dayton Deal!

URL: <http://emtech.steadynet.com/zmdesc.htm>

No excuse for hi SWR now, is there? --- I think not :)!

73 es stay tuned,

Conrad Weiss  
NN6CW

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 23:09:28 -0700  
From: "Dave Fifield" <dave@redhotradio.com>  
To: <radman@best.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126796] Re: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...  
Message-ID: <003901c1fd69\$67510180\$0200a8c0@AD6A>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Where did Doug go to? Not FDI/Dayton, right?  
He's at home still, working normal school days AFAIK.

72, Dave, AD6A

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Conrad Weiss" <radman@best.com>  
Subject: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...  
(snip)  
> OR... you could [when Hendricks  
> returns] order a BLT kit from NorCal for \$29 shipped.  
(snip)

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 00:10:45 -0700  
From: Conrad Weiss <radman@best.com>  
To: "'Dave Fifield'" <dave@redhotradio.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126797] RE: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc... <correction!>  
Message-ID: <01C1FD37.4B4AB3A0@209-162-48-86.thegrid.net>

Yo Dave,

By gosh... mea culpa... yer right ! This time of the school year, Doug \*is\*  
at home prepping his students for final exams and perfecting his patented,  
fade-away three-point, sky-hook, jump shot in his own driveway ;)

So, there's still time to order a NorCal "BLT to go"... but you gotta get your order in to Doug prior to June 1, cause he and his wife blast out of town on June 7th headed for Lobstercon, Amishcon, Poconocon, DaveBensoncon, SteveMeltSolderWebercon, AdirondackMountaincon, SeabLyoncon, and finally EightWeekVacationcon.

Here's the deal (per Doug) a la the BLT:

Visit URL: <http://www.fix.net/~jparkernorcal/kits/kits.htm>

"With the addition of a BNC and a spst switch, you can make the BLT also work with coax and long wires. The cost of the kit is \$25 plus \$4 for shipping and handling. To order please send \$29 for each BLT to:

Doug Hendricks  
862 Frank Ave.  
Dos Palos, CA 93620

Use the same address to order the (QRP) crystals which are \$3 each postage paid. Please enclose a self addressed mailing label with each order, as it sure helps on this end. Please make checks and money orders to Doug Hendricks, NOT NorCal."

Email Doug Hendricks if you have questions at: <ki6ds@dph.dpol.net>

OK. That will teach me to write emails when I'm half-asleep ;)

...off to bed... 73 all,

Conrad Weiss  
NN6CW

-----  
From: Dave Fifield[SMTP:dave@redhotradio.com]  
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2002 11:09 PM  
To: radman@best.com; Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion  
Subject: Re: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...

Where did Doug go to? Not FDI/Dayton, right?  
He's at home still, working normal school days AFAIK.

72, Dave, AD6A

----- Original Message -----  
From: "Conrad Weiss" <radman@best.com>  
Subject: NorCal BLT schemo, pics, mods... etc...  
(snip)

> OR... you could [when Hendricks  
> returns] order a BLT kit from NorCal for \$29 shipped.  
(snip)

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 03:09:40 -0400 (EDT)  
From: George Gingell <k3tks@u1.abs.net>  
To: QRP List <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126798] An invitation + [OT], [FS], & [QQ]  
Message-ID: <20020517030204.A19115-100000@u1.abs.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

A News Flash for those who could not make it to Dayton.

The QRP ARCI QRP Quarterly Journal for April 2002 is off the press and  
winging it's way to your mailboxes.

If you are not a subscriber, (Shame on You), Send me \$ 5.00 and I will  
mail you a "Sample" PostPaid, (With a Membership Application enclosed :^}

It is not Great!, It is Outstandingly AAAAwesome!

You will find a wide variety of material, after you get done drooling over  
the Full Color Cover. "Digital Homebrewing has Arrived" Courtesy of  
NJ-QRP Club and George Heron, N2APB.

The Atlanticon Badge this year was "The Badger" a Keyer kit name badge.

It has more tricks than a "Mississippi River Gambler." Really Slick!

It should have my Unit on the Cover. Check NJQRP.Org web page, it may have  
the Digital Photo of my Unit. You will recognize it by the Unique Paddle  
Construction. It is a Genuine "Master Key" single lever paddle.

I have an Iambic model on the drawing board, but I am waiting for the Special Phosper Bronze leaf spring materials to start building them.

I may offer the paddles as add on kits to the project. I will definately be making the stand alone version for future sales direct from my Company.

Gingell & Company, Ltd. (301) 572-6789 Email K3TKS@abs.net

NO ORDERS YET, Please. You may send an email with address and interest and I will add you to my mailing list for further details.

I am also working on a couple of Novelty Items that may take advantage of the same Keyer or Chip.

If you or your YL/XYL like Light Houses, you will just fall in love with my Driveway Beacon Design. Another "George Did It !" Design from Sir

George the First, of "Let George Do It !" (C) 2002  
[Gingell & Company, Ltd.] Prices to be announced ...

If you are a Subscriber to QQ, it is on the way to your mailbox, If not, Contact me about a Sample. ONLY \$ 5.00 Postpaid...

Include your address in your email and I will get a copy in the mail ASAP...

QRPP Dx Tu, (C) 2002 K3TKS

Sir George, The First :^}

72 ES QRP DX TU (C) 1986, G. "Danny" Gingell, K3TKS@ abs.net  
Former QRP A.R.C.I. Net Manager and Current Board of Director Member.  
Gingell & Company, Ltd. Small Business Telephone Systems, Handyman Services,  
Commercial & Residential Locksmith Services (301) 572-6789 Office & Fax  
George D. Gingell, Jr. 3052 Fairland Road, Silver Spring, MD 20904-7117  
Maryland Milliwatt Club QRP Reference Library, (301) 572-6789 IQRR #1,  
Maryland Milliwatt Club Founder and Trustee of Club Station - WQ3RP -  
Grid Square FM19mb 76.94 W - 39.06 N Silver Spring, MD 20904 QRPea.A.

Collector of Quartz Crystals and Telegraph Keys.

Maryland Milliwatt Club QRP Reference Library, Donations Accepted.

"72" = "Wishing You Good QRP" (C) 1991 Oleg Borodin, RV3GM

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 06:44:09 -0400  
From: "John Dorson" <jdorson@Worldshare.net>  
To: <myetsko@insydesw.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126799] Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all Citizens  
Message-ID: <001101c1fd8f\$ca9fb760\$1010eb41@atwork>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Mike you are exactly right. When buying into a community with a CC&R, you are basically signing a contract with the association. Just as with any contract it is binding in total. That is not to say I like it but that is the way it is and anyone who after buying starts crying should simply sell and go somewhere else. Boy do I wish I could have a nice vertical...

John K2JHU..

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2002 5:23 PM  
Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all Citizens

> Restrictions that are 'blankets' and pretty much prohibit all of something  
> are usually easily broken. In fact, THAT is what the FCC made noises  
> about.  
>  
> What some people seem to feel is their right to buy a piece of property  
> with a restriction that says no towers, or no antennas more than 20 feet  
> over the roof, and then break that restriction because they feel their  
> rights were violated.  
>  
> Excuse me... What rights? The right to read what you're signing when  
> you buy and ignore it? The right to break a promise? The right to  
> steal?



>  
> You gets what you pays for. If you paid for a property with a  
> restriction,  
> then you GET a property with a deed restriction. And as I said, if "I"  
> had  
> bought into a property KNOWING that I had a restriction, and then some  
> new guy comes in and says it's his right to ignore it, you can be pretty  
> darn sure I'd be at the head of the line making a stink.  
>  
> And what is this line about too old to read the fine print? Does that  
> make  
> it morally right? Come on here!  
>  
> Mike  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 07:09:29 -0400  
From: "Charles Mabbott" <aa8vs@msn.com>  
To: w3kc@starpower.net, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU  
Subject: [126800] Re: QRL Syndrome  
Message-ID: <F23PwQmW02Isk0uN9JV00000326@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Is is possible he forgot how to use tuner and move??  
Sorry.

One thing I have noticed is [in MI] I can hear QSO's  
going and someone sends a QRL right in the middle of  
it. I suspect there might be through propagation that  
they may in fact not hear each other. Some of us that  
might be in the ideal position to hear both, it sounds  
almost laughable.....

I hear only one side of many QSOs due to propagation  
and it is interesting to listen to a fellow in Europe  
or West coast talking to an 8 call. They are fine and  
I cannot hear the 8 call at all.

73 oo  
Chuck AA8VS

>From: "Charles W3KC" <w3kc@starpower.net>

>Reply-To: w3kc@starpower.net  
>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
>Subject: Re: QRL Syndrome  
>Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 13:27:53 -0400  
>  
<snip>

"Racial interaction is described by Physics,  
for every action there is an opposite  
reaction. We hate them, they hate us, and  
we hate them back. And there you are, we are  
trapped by mathematics."

-Londo B5

<http://aa8vs.dhs.org:81/aa8vs>

---

Join the world s largest e-mail service with MSN Hotmail.  
<http://www.hotmail.com>

---

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 07:53:13 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <jdorson@Worldshare.net>, "QRP Mail List" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126801] Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
Message-ID: <000d01c1fd99\$6e186280\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, there's always those that feel they got 'trapped', and I have to  
admit,  
that does happen. But really, it was a tradeoff. They weighed the fact  
that  
the house was nice, that their spouse wanted 'that one', or location, and  
made that choice.

But then, that's what QRP is all about. Well, not really. But isn't QRP  
a  
perfect match to this?

Oh, some people say it's 'minimalist' hardware, but then while they will  
cut back to a tuna-tin II for a transmitter, they use an \$8000 ICOM as  
the receiver tied to a 5 element beam 110 feet in the air kind of thing.

Oh there are 'true minimalist', like the guys that go backpacking and have to take that K1. At every stop he doesn't rest! He's stringing out his wire antenna! Get those few contacts in and still have time to roll up the antenna as any other hiker is getting up and stretching to go...

And what about yet ANOTHER mix, the guy with the 'minimalist antenna' with the fancy gear in the shack. A K2 if he's QRP, but maybe a QRO rig. This setup actually fits the QRP guy pretty well for his home station.

And why not? Isn't there something about being QRP that harkens back to a romantic spy novel? The guy hiding in his attic with a rig and a key?

The guy in the jungle spotting for the allies? There's just something about hiding your antenna that makes it exciting.

I've had hidden antennas for years. Or at least no so obvious ones. From back in college when I built a box to interface the CB to the car antenna so no one would know I had it in there for the commute. To stringing wire dipoles in the trees so they couldn't be spotted by my neighbors. One time loading up the spouting and rain gutters on my apartment building. (When they discovered it, they actually didn't mind. They even offered to let me change apartments to one at the base of the old TV antenna tower when they 'went cable' so I could put an antenna on it!) Anyway, just something about 'stealth' seems to be a good match to QRP.

So, instead of all the whining about the deed restrictions, how about a NEW thread. A POSITIVE thread in how you deal with deed restrictions. Antennas, whatever...

Mike

QRP can be a good fit to the deed issues when it comes

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Dorson" <jdorson@Worldshare.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Friday, May 17, 2002 6:44 AM

Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all Citizens

> Mike you are exactly right. When buying into a community with a CC&R,

you

> are basically signing a contract with the association. Just as with any  
> contract it is binding in total. That is not to say I like it but that  
is

> the way it is and anyone who after buying starts crying should simply  
sell

> and go somewhere else. Boy do I wish I could have a nice vertical...

>

> John K2JHU..

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

> Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2002 5:23 PM

> Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of  
all

> Citizens

>

>

> > Restrictions that are 'blankets' and pretty much prohibit all of  
something

> > are usually easily broken. In fact, THAT is what the FCC made noises  
> > about.

> >

> > What some people seem to feel is their right to buy a piece of  
property

> > with a restriction that says no towers, or no antennas more than 20  
feet

> > over the roof, and then break that restriction because they feel their  
> > rights were violated.

> >

> > Excuse me... What rights? The right to read what you're signing when  
> > you buy and ignore it? The right to break a promise? The right to  
> > steal?

> >

> > You gets what you pays for. If you paid for a property with a  
> > restriction,

> > then you GET a property with a deed restriction. And as I said, if  
"I"

> > had

> > bought into a property KNOWING that I had a restriction, and then some  
> > new guy comes in and says it's his right to ignore it, you can be  
pretty

> > darn sure I'd be at the head of the line making a stink.

> >

> > And what is this line about too old to read the fine print? Does that  
> > make

> > it morally right? Come on here!

> >

> > Mike  
> >  
> >  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 07:59:54 -0400  
From: "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126802] switch wafer  
Message-ID: <006b01c1fd9a\$5bc65500\$d2af2341@tampabay.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I know that somewhere out there , in someone's "treasure trove", aka "junque box" there is a 2pole, 5 position rotary switch waiting to be found!!! PLEASE folks, go look now, while you're thinking about it!!!!I need one very badly.. Mr. Murphy came by yesterday and broke mine at the last minute!! Any 2 pole wafer can be used as I can move the contacts around as needed!!! the only requirement is that it be "standard size", as used in so many amps of the heathkit , Dentron, and many others for many years.. It is to go into the rf input ckt. of a gla1000B!! thanks to all who will now proceed to the Treasure room to look!!  
carl / kz5ca

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 08:22:15 -0400  
From: "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>  
To: <myetsko@insydesw.com>,  
                "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126803] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
Message-ID: <007901c1fd9d\$7b808e80\$d2af2341@tampabay.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I got stuck with the "cc&r" thing because neither the real estate agent nor the developer's agent even mentioned the cc&r business, and I had never heard of it, being from the northern , civilized , part of the country!!

they dropped that one on me after closing, and for a while I had considered a lawsuit, which I could very likely have won!! however, I have a 4 band antenna and no one knows it's there, because I fly "old glory" at the top of it!!!!

carl / kz5ca (and it's in the front yard, too!!!)

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Friday, May 17, 2002 7:53 AM

Subject: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth

> Well, there's always those that feel they got 'trapped', and I have to  
> admit,  
> that does happen. But really, it was a tradeoff. They weighed the fact  
> that  
> the house was nice, that their spouse wanted 'that one', or location, and  
> made that choice.  
>  
> But then, that's what QRP is all about. Well, not really. But isn't QRP  
> a  
> perfect match to this?  
>  
> Oh, some people say it's 'minimalist' hardware, but then while they will  
> cut back to a tuna-tin II for a transmitter, they use an \$8000 ICOM as  
> the receiver tied to a 5 element beam 110 feet in the air kind of thing.  
>  
> Oh there are 'true minimalist', like the guys that go backpacking and  
> have to take that K1. At every stop he doesn't rest! He's stringing out  
> his wire antenna! Get those few contacts in and still have time to roll  
> up the antenna as any other hiker is getting up and stretching to go...  
>  
> And what about yet ANOTHER mix, the guy with the 'minimalist antenna'  
> with the fancy gear in the shack. A K2 if he's QRP, but maybe a QRO  
> rig. This setup actually fits the QRP guy pretty well for his home  
> station.  
>  
> And why not? Isn't there something about being QRP that harkens back  
> to a romantic spy novel? The guy hiding in his attic with a rig and a  
> key?  
> The guy in the jungle spotting for the allies? There's just something  
> about  
> hiding your antenna that makes it exciting.  
>  
> I've had hidden antennas for years. Or at least no so obvious ones. From  
> back in college when I built a box to interface the CB to the car antenna  
> so no one would know I had it in there for the commute. To stringing  
> wire dipoles in the trees so they couldn't be spotted by my neighbors.

> One time loading up the spouting and rain gutters on my apartment  
> building. (When they discovered it, they actually didn't mind. They  
> even offered to let me change apartments to one at the base of the old  
> TV antenna tower when they 'went cable' so I could put an antenna on  
> it!) Anyway, just something about 'stealth' seems to be a good match  
> to QRP.  
>  
> So, instead of all the whining about the deed restrictions, how about  
> a NEW thread. A POSITIVE thread in how you deal with deed  
> restrictions. Antennas, whatever...  
>  
> Mike  
>  
>  
> QRP can be a good fit to the deed issues when it comes  
>  
>  
> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: "John Dorson" <jdorson@Worldshare.net>  
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
> Sent: Friday, May 17, 2002 6:44 AM  
> Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of  
> all Citizens  
>  
>  
> > Mike you are exactly right. When buying into a community with a CC&R,  
> you  
> > are basically signing a contract with the association. Just as with any  
> > contract it is binding in total. That is not to say I like it but that  
> is  
> > the way it is and anyone who after buying starts crying should simply  
> sell  
> > and go somewhere else. Boy do I wish I could have a nice vertical...  
> >  
> > John K2JHU..  
> > ----- Original Message -----  
> > From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
> > To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
> > Sent: Thursday, May 16, 2002 5:23 PM  
> > Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of  
> all  
> > Citizens  
> >  
> >  
> > > Restrictions that are 'blankets' and pretty much prohibit all of  
> something  
> > > are usually easily broken. In fact, THAT is what the FCC made noises  
> > > about.

> > >  
> > > What some people seem to feel is their right to buy a piece of  
> property  
> > > with a restriction that says no towers, or no antennas more than 20  
> feet  
> > > over the roof, and then break that restriction because they feel their  
> > > rights were violated.  
> > >  
> > > Excuse me... What rights? The right to read what you're signing when  
> > > you buy and ignore it? The right to break a promise? The right to  
> > > steal?  
> > >  
> > > You gets what you pays for. If you paid for a property with a  
> > > restriction,  
> > > then you GET a property with a deed restriction. And as I said, if  
> "I"  
> > > had  
> > > bought into a property KNOWING that I had a restriction, and then some  
> > > new guy comes in and says it's his right to ignore it, you can be  
> pretty  
> > > darn sure I'd be at the head of the line making a stink.  
> > >  
> > > And what is this line about too old to read the fine print? Does that  
> > > make  
> > > it morally right? Come on here!  
> > >  
> > > Mike  
> > >  
> > >  
> >  
> >  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 08:48:10 -0400  
From: "Paul Christensen" <w9ac@arrl.net>  
To: <w2wurjj@verizon.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126804] Re: Covenants  
Message-ID: <008b01c1fda1\$19f604c0\$6401a8c0@attbi.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit



> Can a municipality running a HUD Senior Center preclude external  
> transmitting antennas?  
> Their lease states "No external Antennas Use Master TV antenna."  
> Is the municipality and or HUD in violation of any Statutes?

Generally, when one signs a private lease with this language integrated into the agreement, your rights and any remedies available at law or equity are waived. You have, in effect, contracted your rights away. I am also assuming that the HUD Senior Center is facilitated as a private enterprise with federal funding?

> Are they abrogating our First Amendment Rights?

It's a fundamental aphorism in U.S. Constitutional law that only the government (federal, state, municipal), or a private person or entity acting on behalf of the government can violate rights afforded under the U.S. Constitution. The Constitution only applies to the limits of governmental power.

On the other hand, a private entity can be in violation of the statutory laws enacted by our government (e.g., Congress' Civil Rights Act of 1964). It is important to understand the demarcation between our rights under the Constitution and our rights under enacted statutory laws.

Disclaimer: This information is not to be considered legal advice, nor does it establish an attorney/client relationship. To assess your own issues, seek legal counsel.

-Paul, W9AC

> ----- Original Message -----  
> From: Marty N5NW <n5nw@n5nw.org>  
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
> Sent: 16 May, 2002 21:48  
> Subject: Re: Covenants cannot apply to Radio waves which are property of all  
> Citizens  
>  
>  
> > Two issues:  
> >  
> > First, lots of deed restrictions and covenants have been vacated either  
> > through legislation or judicial action. Thankfully, the government  
> > decided  
> > that discrimination in housing was not in the public interest. Hopefully  
> > they will decide that volunteer public service is in the public interest

> as  
> > well.  
> >  
> > Second, one can make the case that a home is less valuable because of the  
> > covenants and deed restrictions (anything that impacts marketability makes  
> > an asset less valuable). Wonder how long it would take the government to  
> > act if the tax base of a neighborhood were eroded by, say, 15%? I'd  
> imagine  
> > the deed restrictions and covenants would be gone fairly quickly. (Yes, I  
> > was an appraiser for a while)  
> >  
> > If all covenants allowed antennas, but limited them to 20 feet over the  
> > house, it wouldn't be much of an issue in my opinion. But I haven't seen  
> > too many of those. They are mostly outright prohibitions. Things like  
> > "can't be visible from the street" are the norm. If PRB-1 is the law (and  
> > it is) for local governments, then homeowners associations should not be  
> > allowed to be more restrictive than the local government. Homeowners  
> > associations are treated as a governmental entity under the laws of most  
> > states, with limitations on their behavior.  
> >  
> > Condominiums are a bit different, because there is common ownership of the  
> > grounds. But a single-family dwelling owns the land, and is (in my  
> opinion)  
> > different. What if you bought your home, but only leased the land from  
> the  
> > developer? That is (in effect) what you are doing with covenants and  
> > restrictions, because the developer/homeowners association is limiting  
> your  
> > use of your land.  
> >  
> > --  
> > 73 de N5NW/8 (Marty)  
> > Bellbrook, Ohio  
> >  
> >  
> >  
>  
>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 08:57:04 -0400  
From: W2AGN <w2agn@w2agn.net>  
To: Paul Christensen <w9ac@arrl.net>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126805] Re: Covenants  
Message-ID: <0205170857040C.11392@jsielke>

MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

On Friday 17 May 2002 08:48, Paul Christensen wrote:

>  
> Disclaimer: This information is not to be considered legal advice, nor does  
> it establish an attorney/client relationship. To assess your own issues,  
> seek legal counsel.  
>  
> -Paul, W9AC

--

That's one of the other reasons for CC&R's. They keep lawyers in new BMW's!

-----  
John L Sielke W2AGN  
w2agn@w2agn.net  
<http://www.w2agn.net>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 07:34:12 -0600  
From: "Conant, Paul" <paul.conant@lmco.com>  
To: "'qrp-1@Lehigh.edu'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126806] CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <675067CF647BD4118DEA00508BE32AB407965F11@emss02m09.vs.lmco.com>  
Content-return: allowed  
MIME-version: 1.0  
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Dear Friends,

I guess it is time for me to inject some brief comments on this topic. In the State of Texas, the Real Estate Code provides mechanisms for revising deed restrictions. These are agreements between neighbors and have the force of law. They are recorded in county deed records and are generally called declarations. Declarations for different developments often contain similar restrictions. Sometimes they include provisions by which variances can be granted. More often than not, an HOA board is not empowered to change the declaration, but perhaps a variance can be granted. Generally, HOA boards administer the process by which a legally sufficient number of members of the association can authorize changes. The single biggest

impediment to effecting a change to declarations is getting enough members interested in sending in a proxy or attending a meeting to vote on a change.

Article 1, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution prohibits states from passing any law impairing the obligation of contracts and the states did not delegate that power to the federal government. This type of legislation is the civil equivalent of an ex post facto law. Federal authority derives from the states, not vice versa.

I urge that hams concerned about antenna restrictions should engage the lawful processes delineated in the declarations for developments in which they live in accordance with their respective state codes. No vital interest of the nation, or the the neighborhood, is served by a ham being able to work rare DX with the aid of a tower and beam at any height. On the other hand, the interest of our hobby is well served when hams engage their minds and resourcefulness to develop the capabilities needed to operate under a variety of restrictions, whether imposed contractually, by the XYL, or by the pocket book.

I am pleased to live in a deed restricted development. Conspicuous antennas are restricted, but I am not restricted from operating inconspicuously. Low power operation is helpful, but not essential, to achieving my operating objectives. I don't have time to experiment with all the kinds of antennas I could deploy on my treeless corner lot. My present inverted dipole has never, to my knowledge, been observed. Deed restrictions do not represent a significant impediment to enjoying ham radio. You may not get to do it all, but few can under any circumstances. Go to a good library, like the one at UTA, and look at how hams creatively engaged their talents during the WWII years. They had real restrictions, but managed to experiment with many interesting modes of communications with fascinating results.

This is an emotional topic and will be with us for a while, I suspect with little reconciliation between the conflicting views. As I approach my second anniversary as a QRPer, I am proud to enjoy the on-air companionship of you all.

Respectfully,

Paul, WQ5X

-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 09:40:56 -0400

From: "Mike Yettsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

To: <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [126807] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth

Message-ID: <009401c1fda8\$7a5c7fe0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sounds good...

OK, how about some other suggestions for hiding an antenna...

You know, I have a weather station on my roof. (The Dallas One-Wire thing.) Seems to me I could use that....

I know, put a 10' pole up there, with the weather station at the top. No, a 20' pole! Now, that seems to me that would require guys... Sounds an awful close fit to making up an inverted V that would feed the coax up the center of the 20' pole. Then have 3 guys. Only one is a strand or non-conductive rope. The other two, well, depending on where they go to, they might just have these little bulges in the wire....

Mike

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 07:58:50 -0600  
From: tailfeathers@juno.com  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126808] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <20020517.075850.-1832379.3.tailfeathers@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

On Fri, 17 May 2002 07:34:12 -0600 "Conant, Paul" <paul.conant@lmco.com> writes:

> I urge that hams concerned about antenna restrictions should engage the  
> lawful processes delineated in the declarations for developments in which  
> they live in accordance with their respective state codes.

This may be the best way to go about being a ham in this circumstance. What I find hard to believe is that anyone would want to live with a group of people that think this way. Hams especially! To me this is freaky at best, unamerican, very wierd. The above paragraph while true should cause anyone (Hams) to want to sell and get 5 acres and a mobile

home. :>)

Gary

-----  
GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!

Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 09:51:37 -0400

From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [126809] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth

Message-ID: <009e01c1fdaa\$066da080\$0200a8c0@charter.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> You know, I have a weather station on my roof. (The Dallas One-Wire  
> thing.) Seems to me I could use that....

Figure that you have a metallic mast pipe to the 1-wire weather station.  
Now you have two feeds. One a 2-conductor wire for the weather  
station, and the other probably coax to the apex of an inverted V.

OK, question... If someone were to do this, and you fed with coax, I  
wonder if it would be better to place the coax up the inside of the pipe  
and the 1-wire line on the outside, or place the coax outside the pipe  
and the 1-wire line on the inside. I know, the coax SHOULDN'T  
radiate, but this is the real world...

Putting the 1-wire on the inside of the pipe would probably have the  
advantage of also shielding it from the actual antenna element radiation  
too, but it may not be necessary at QRP levels.

Then again, maybe put ALL the wires inside the pipe, but use a shielded  
line for the 1-wire...

Mike

-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 10:03:44 -0400  
From: David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126810] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.1.20020517095734.00a5a570@ipostoffice.worldnet.att.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 07:58 AM 5/17/2002 -0600, you wrote:

>freaky at best, unamerican, very wierd. The above paragraph while true  
>should cause anyone (Hams) to want to sell and get 5 acres and a mobile  
>home. :>)

My mobile home made a pretty serviceable ground plane for my old Hustler  
5BTV. The downside is that you need an outside antenna for EVERYTHING.  
Living in a Faraday cage has its liabilities. Weather is also a factor -  
lightning's not a problem but tornadoes are.

Dave

-----  
"You can fool some of the people all of the time. That's enough to make a  
living." - Lance Burton  
-----

Dave Hinerman  
WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 10:13:18 -0400  
From: Kenneth Hogle <hoglund@wfu.edu>  
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126811] Reasonable Accommodation  
Message-ID: <3CE50FFE.EAC26630@wfu.edu>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang--

There are clearly some deep-held opinions on the issue, but I think we  
are missing a few essentials in the comments to date.

The FCC has already modified the ability of local governmental agencies,  
zoning boards, etc. to restrict amateur antennas thru PRB-1 which calls

for such agencies to make "reasonable accommodation" for the amateur service. This does not mean they can't control issues of height, number of antennas, etc. It simply means the responsibility is on the regulatory agency to show that their specifications are "reasonable," and that the amateur service is not being capriciously limited by local regulations.

As it now stands, the exemption of restrictive covenants from such a rule would, in effect, make such local CC&R arrangements and HOA's more free than elected town councils etc. in this limited exercise of power to capriciously (unreasonably) restrict an activity which is regulated under a larger governmental entity (the FCC). IMHO the ARRL has been on the right track in pointing out the illogical circumstance of this exemption.

The wording of the current proposed legislation simply calls on all regulatory agencies and CC&R arrangements to stand in line under the FCC's over-arching authority. What the FCC is licensing and regulating, no local governmental or private agency can restrict without allowing "reasonable accommodation".

Again, IMHO this is an important protection for us now and for future ops. Without it, all sorts of mischief can be enacted in the name of planning and appearance. As population densities grow in many parts of the country, cluster homes, planned unit developments, etc. will be the norm, along with CC&R's. Maybe I can't have a 125 foot tower with a 6-element yagi in the back yard, but if the proposed legislation passes, no one can tell me I can't have any external antennas.

73 and living large on nearly an acre,

Ken KG4FGC

PS. The wording of PRB-1 plus many other useful considerations may be found at [http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/PRB-1\\_Pkg/](http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/regulations/PRB-1_Pkg/)

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 10:42:00 -0400  
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>  
To: myetsko@insydesw.com  
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126812] Re: Was Covenants, lets change to stealth  
Message-ID: <3CE516B8.CBCCCFA9@erols.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0



Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Regardless of where the restrictions come from, or how they are presented, it is clear that they have the force of law. Looking to PRB-1 or other laws will likely only result in further frustration.

You are all hams. Hams have been consistently among the most creative thinkers in the world! Remember, relegated to 200 meters and down because the powers that were thought they would never make it work, they did. And how did they do this little miracle? They used their heads rather than complain!

If you want an antenna, you will HAVE IT. It may not be a 6 element beam, but it will get out if you take the time to optimize it for your QTH. U have used stealthy antennas for the last 25 years. I have worked all states and also DXCC several times. I have fone it at QRO levels and QRP levels. Why me?

Aside from being special, I am also ddetermined not to let restroctions invade my hobby. I have really done very little that could be called special. I have built and used indoor helically wound dipoles, I have built and used stealth wires to great effect. In fact here, I use a 150 foot long wire hidden by its color and some friendly trees on all bands with good effect. Why can't all of you?

At one level antennas aer very simple things. They are well documented. You can find different typees in any antenna handbook/ All you have to do is find one that sort of fits your situation and tehn learn all yo can about it. Then you can start to optimize it for your instalation. This is very important. Hust as your car does not run well if it is out of tune, your antenna will notwork well if it is not optimized!

Don't look for miracle antennas. Yes, they do work, for eth designer and builoder who knows enough about what he is trying to do. Just like putting an Indy Car engine in your dailhy driver will not make you go fast, they will not met yuo many contacts unless you understand what they do!

Read. Read. Read. And tehn try it!

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 10:23:29 -0400  
From: "Paul Christensen" <w9ac@arrl.net>  
To: <paul.conant@lmco.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126813] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <00ad01c1fdae\$6b0c7580\$6401a8c0@attbi.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> Article 1, Section 10 of the U.S. Constitution prohibits states from passing  
> any law impairing the obligation of contracts and the states did not  
> delegate that power to the federal government. This type of legislation is  
> the civil equivalent of an ex post facto law. Federal authority derives  
> from the states, not vice versa.

The Constitution's Supremacy Clause ensures that where state and federal laws are in conflict, that federal law prevails, provided that the federal law in question is Constitutional and that federal authority in the matter is not specifically granted to the states.

> I urge that hams concerned about antenna restrictions should engage the  
> lawful processes delineated in the declarations for developments in which  
> they live in accordance with their respective state codes.

Not all covenants, nor do all states provide for a private appeals process. Contract law remedies in Texas have no judicial authority in Florida.

If we are going to preserve amateur radio as a national resource, we need a national solution.

> interest of the nation, or the neighborhood, is served by a ham being  
> able to work rare DX with the aid of a tower and beam at any height. On the  
> other hand, the interest of our hobby is well served when hams engage their  
> minds and resourcefulness to develop the capabilities needed to operate  
> under a variety of restrictions, whether imposed contractually, by the XYL,  
> or by the pocket book.

I believe we can delineate "working DX" from having the technical infrastructure necessary to effectuate communications in the event of a national communications disaster. Although I love QRP operations, I do not want to depend on low power and small antennas for saving lives if the nation's communications network is rendered useless. Reasonably-accommodated stations would bolster amateur radio as a national public service resource. Without our public service standing

as the primary resource driver, the hobby aspect of amateur radio would have disappeared long ago. It is because we are seen as a public service resource in Congress and before the FCC, that you can enjoy operating QRP at all. From your perspective, it would appear that amateur radio as a hobby trumps amateur radio as a public service.

> never, to my knowledge, been observed. Deed restrictions do not represent a  
> significant impediment to enjoying ham radio.

Obviously, "enjoyment" is subjective and not all operators enjoy QRP operations. Moreover, we again need to bifurcate the "hobby" from the "service." I dare say that for most amateur radio operators, deed restrictions are a significant impediment to both the hobby and the public service.

> but few can under any circumstances. Go to a good library, like the one at  
> UTA, and look at how hams creatively engaged their talents during the WWII  
> years. They had real restrictions, but managed to experiment with many  
> interesting modes of communications with fascinating results.

I agree, but I fail to see the connection....

-Paul, W9AC

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 07:30:06 -0800  
From: anthony@pacinfosb.com  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126814] QRL thang  
Message-ID: <Chameleon.1021645985.Anthony@PID038>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; CHARSET=ISO-8859-1

"Out of curiosity, isn't the expected response "C"?  
My assumption is that if anyone comes back with a single letter you should think twice before transmitting again, but somewhere I read the "official" response was "C". "Y" would seem to English speakers more logical and natural." ...

The use of the Morse "C" (didit dit) rather than the International Code "C" is the practice I've heard most used, and this is what is in the older books also.

73, WN6Q  
-----

Anthony Felino, Pacific Information Design  
email: anthony@pacinfosb.com  
telephone: (805) 730 1565, x25  
-----  
-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 10:36:36 -0400  
From: "Mike Yettsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <w9ac@arrl.net>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126815] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <000f01c1fdb0\$571a5720\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well, I think a lot of it boils down to a VERY basic issue. To see it,  
try to  
apply it generically, NOT with the emotional issue of HAM radio.

People make an agreement.

They pay a price for something based on that agreement.

Then, after the fact, they claim they are a special case and want to  
violate  
that agreement.

What's wrong with this picture? It's morally wrong. PERIOD!! Would  
you tolerate this kind or morally defunct behavior in other cases in the  
world? Would you tolerate this kind of behavior in a business contract?

Come on people, what is wrong here?

Yes, the rules stink. So play somewhere else. Buy somewhere else.  
Work to change the rules. But this garbage of "I have a right to break  
my word" stuff just doesn't wash.

No one is forcing you to buy into a restricted area. Come on, that's  
like a 16 year old telling the cops he HAD to do it because EVERYONE  
was doing it.

If you can change a restriction, fine. If you can work to find solutions,  
fine. But this blanket passing of a law nationally to retroactively  
change

this is morally wrong.

Mike

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 10:52:00 -0400 (EDT)  
From: Rich <rich@mulveyfamily.com>  
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126816] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <200205171452.KAA16144@mulveyfamily.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> On Fri, 17 May 2002 07:34:12 -0600 "Conant, Paul" <paul.conant@lmco.com>  
> writes:  
> > I urge that hams concerned about antenna restrictions should engage  
> the  
> > lawful processes delineated in the declarations for developments in  
> which  
> > they live in accordance with their respective state codes.  
>  
> This may be the best way to go about being a ham in this circumstance.  
> What I find hard to believe is that anyone would want to live with a  
> group of people that think this way. Hams especially! To me this is  
> freaky at best, unamerican, very wierd. The above paragraph while true  
> should cause anyone (Hams) to want to sell and get 5 acres and a mobile  
> home. :>)

In many areas, you don't necessarily "want to live that way", but you have no practical choice in the matter. Town governments tend to like HOA's with CC&R's because they reduce the burden on the town for providing services like snow plowing, etc. Developers like them because they can throw up huge, generic housing tracts that appeal to the Beige Masses. The end result is that it can become literally impossible to purchase a new house that isn't encumbered, without having to deal with 2 hour commutes to work. Sure - you can try older neighborhoods, but there are a large number of reasons why new houses can be preferable.

Personally, I detest CC&R's. Some of them verge on the truly bizarre. Case in point: A co-worker got a notice from his HOA that his deck needed to be re-stained, and he would be fined, if it wasn't by X date. But it also included an "Exterior modification request" form that he had

to submit, with a payment, to get permission to have the deck stained the exact same color. The letter and the form were both dealt with by the same HOA officer...

As for the 5 acres - well, we recently looked into that, but all of the farmers want to sell off their holdings in large parcels to developers, so those of us who just want to get a couple of acres are out of luck. ;-)

ObQrp: I have an R7 and a dipole up in the trees in the backyard, in my 1950's era unencumbered neighborhood, and work tons of PSK-31 DX. And best of all, the neighbors can't see any of it, because all of the lot lines are covered with trees that wouldn't be permitted by most HOA's. ;-)

Rich

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 11:44:20 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetzko" <myetzko@insydesw.com>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126817] Remember all the discussion of that antenna?  
Message-ID: <002101c1fdb9\$b744c8c0\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A while back we had a BIG discussion on an antenna. It was essentially two tubes... Real short. I seem to recall some kind of E-field or something?

It's now the 'top topic' on QRZ.

Now, I don't wish to restart the topic here, but I think it would be amusing if we all just sort of watched how the discussion develops on QRZ.

Mike

-----  
Date: Thu, 16 May 2002 20:40:33 -0700  
From: "Doug Hendricks" <ki6ds@dph.dpol.net>  
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [126818] Where is Doug?  
Message-ID: <001e01c1fd54\$9c1fe260\$4a0b0d0a@dph.dpol.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Doug is home, working like crazy getting ready for the end of the year. We just finished the AP Chemistry and AP Biology tests, my guitar students are working on their song presentations for their final. (They have to play a complete song, from start to finish, accompanying a CD of their choice. These are students who never touched a guitar before September. All will pass easily.) And my regular biology students are working on their virtual organism collection, which is their final portfolio project. Remember the days when you took biology and had to do an insect collection? The mess, the chemicals, the pins, the drying, the time?? Well, we are in the computer age now. My students go out on campus and find 25 living organisms that they know the common names of. Then, they get on the internet and find the scientific classification of each one. They prepare a "collection" which consists of a microsoft word file that has a color picture, scientific classification, and common name of each one. That is emailed to me. No paper, no chemicals, nada. And, instead of only classifying one order, they get to do many different ones. They like it and it is fun. The hall monitor at our school hates it, grin.

Just finished a fundraiser for sending the boys to basketball camp. We did a chicken barbecue and sold 500 1/2 chicken dinners for \$5 each. That was last night. We sold every ticket, and the boys had a lot of fun working the event. Parents helped to cook, and the boys were the "waiters", each dressed in a white shirt and tie. (I had to supply 4 white shirts and 9 ties, grin.). Conrad, it doesn't matter if my jump hook is perfected, what matters is if Maurice's is, as he is the one who gets to play. (And his is not perfect yet, but it is very good. Maurice is going to be a great player.)

And, I mailed QRPP, Winter 2001 issue on Tuesday. You should get yours very soon. I am working on the Spring issue, and hope to have it mailed before I leave on vacation.

Conrad is absolutely right about your being able to order a BLT now. Plus, the Monty Northrup site is in a word, "GREAT" as it shows many how to build pics, and the schematic of the mod is perfect. Check it out.

Have a great day. I am. 72, Doug

-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 12:16:56 -0500  
From: "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>  
To: <rich@mulveyfamily.com>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126819] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <200205171715.MAA14968@zinc.eosinc.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

On Fri, 17 May 2002 10:52:00 -0400 (EDT), Rich wrote:  
>As for the 5 acres - well, we recently looked into that, but all=  
of  
>the farmers want to sell off their holdings in large parcels to  
>developers, so those of us who just want to get a couple of=  
acres  
>are out of luck. ; -)

The 5 acres we purchased a few years ago came with a covenant as=  
well. We're not allowed to have more than 5 farm animals (1 per=  
acre)  
and we cannot raise or keep pigs of any kind. Cost is about the=  
same  
as a similar home "in town." The land was 1/6 the cost of a lot=  
in a  
subdivision but installing well, septic and electricity made=  
overall  
costs about a wash.

The nice thing about 5 acres from the Ham perspective is we're a=  
little outside of town so there's less electrical noise from  
businesses, etc. Also lots of room for antenna experimentation,=  
etc.  
At a hamfest a few weeks ago I purchased some tower that will=  
allow a  
full size 160 Meter dipole to be fed with low loss open line run=  
right into the shack. QRP or QRO that's a good deal in my book.  
There's also plenty of room to play with portable antennas, etc.=  
either in the "back yard" or during a nightly walk in the woods  
nearby.

I can appreciate the interest in the CC&R's but I thought this=  
was a  
forum for discussing QRP techniques, equipment, operating=



practices,  
etc. Much as I normally dislike moderated mailing lists after=  
seeing  
all this discussion and virtually NO discussion of core QRP=  
issues I  
wish there were a moderator reining this all in.

That's it for me, I'm going to do something fun... WD9EEK and I=  
are  
heading to Dayton shortly! If anyone wants to give us a call=  
we'll be  
monitoring and calling on 18157.5 and 18200, 18300 or thereabouts=  
  
beginning around 1900z and for 5-6 hours afterwards.

--  
Tim, N9PUZ, n9puz@arrl.net on 05/17/2002

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 12:28:25 -0500  
From: "James P. Osburn, P.E." <j.p.osburn@ieee.org>  
To: "List; QRP, QRP Mailing List" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126820] FDIM Seminar NJQRP Antenna Analyzer  
Message-ID: <000501c1fdc8\$5d97cac0\$5189cccf@bbbcomputer>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
                charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Here's a link to 3 pictures that I took of the NJ-QRP Club  
Antenna Analyzer yesterday morning at the FDIM Seminar.  
<http://lightning.qrp.com/~wd9eyb/fdim2002/>

Jim, WD9EYB

-----  
Reply-To: j.p.osburn@ieee.org

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 12:27:54 -0500  
From: "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>  
To: <n9puz@arrl.net>,  
        Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [126821] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <200205171723.MAA15098@zinc.eosinc.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

On Fri, 17 May 2002 12:16:56 -0500, Tim, N9PUZ wrote:

>That's it for me, I'm going to do something fun... WD9EEK and I=  
are  
>heading to Dayton shortly! If anyone wants to give us a call=  
we'll  
>be monitoring and calling on 18157.5 and 18200, 18300 or=  
thereabouts  
> beginning around 1900z and for 5-6 hours afterwards.

Yikes! ... and I really do know the bands a little better than it=  
seems in my previous message. Those last two frequencies should=  
be  
18120 and 18130.

Tim N9PUZ

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 18:13:00 +0000  
From: "Brad Hernlem" <alihernlem@hotmail.com>  
To: n9puz@arrl.net  
Cc: qrp-l@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126822] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <F25PdqJL947FR7m0uf000001457@hotmail.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Tim, N9PUZ (n9puz@arrl.net) writes:

>The 5 acres we purchased a few years ago came with a covenant as well.  
>We're not allowed to have more than 5 farm animals (1 per acre) and we  
>cannot raise or keep pigs of any kind.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Oi!! Does that include "hams" .... not even QRP ones?

: -)

Brad KG6IOE

-----  
Send and receive Hotmail on your mobile device: <http://mobile.msn.com>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 13:21:07 -0500  
From: "Tim, N9PUZ" <n9puz@arrl.net>  
To: <alihernlem@hotmail.com>,  
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126823] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <200205171814.NAA00469@steel.eosinc.com>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

On Fri, 17 May 2002 18:13:00 +0000, Brad Hernlem wrote:  
>Tim, N9PUZ (n9puz@arrl.net) writes:  
>>The 5 acres we purchased a few years ago came with a covenant=  
as  
>>well. We're not allowed to have more than 5 farm animals (1=  
per  
>>acre) and we cannot raise or keep pigs of any kind.  
>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^  
>  
>Oi!! Does that include "hams" .... not even QRP ones?

Heh, heh. We'll let the lawyers quibble over that one! You say=  
ham,  
I say "radio amateur".

--  
Tim, N9PUZ, n9puz@arrl.net on 05/17/2002

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 11:33:03 -0700  
From: Conrad Weiss <radman@best.com>  
To: "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126824] Catch the Live Hamvention '02 Streaming Webcast... !  
Message-ID: <01C1FD96.9BC84680@209-162-49-67.thegrid.net>

Gangue,

Tired of the CC&R debate ;)?  
Check out the live Hamvention WebCast - sponsored by Kenwood - happening in

streaming real time. You'll need a computer w/ a P-166 or greater and a Windows Media browser plug-in -- free from MS. All the info is on the URL below - real easy!

Go to URL: <http://www.kenwood.net/amateur/hamvention>

Following is the schedule. It's a kick, and it's free - tune in :)!

Best,

Conrad Weiss  
NN6CW

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Friday, May 17th  
8:30a - 9:00a  
Charlie Pascal  
WB6CIY  
Web Cast Host

9:00a - 9:30a  
Sandy Andre N6TF0  
Web Cast co-host

9:30a - 10:00a  
CAROL PERRY  
WB2MGP  
EDUCATION HAM  
RADIO TEACHER

10:00a - 10:30a  
CAROLYNN CONELY  
KD5JSO  
N.A.S.A. SPACE PROGRAM ENGINEER

11:30a - 12:00p  
CAROL PERRY  
WB2MGP  
EDUCATOR/HAM RADIO FOR KIDS

12:00p - 12:30p  
JOHN KENNON

N7CQQ  
AMATEUR RADIO

1:30p - 2:00p  
GORDON WEST  
WB6NOA  
AMATEUR RADIO SCHOOLS

2:00p - 2:30p  
RON PARISE WA4SIR  
N.A.S.A. ASTRONAUT

2:30p - 3:00p  
MICHAEL STAAL  
K6MYC  
KLM ANTENNAS REPRESENTATIVE

3:00p - 3:30p  
SKIP BOLNICK KJ6Y  
ANTENNA INSTALLATION EXPERT

3:30p - 4:00p

4:00p - 4:30p  
STAN RUEBENSTEIN  
WA6RNU  
RADIO CLUB OF AMERICA

4:30p - 5:00p  
ROBERT TULLIS  
KC7HU  
M.M.S.N. ON 14.300MHZ

Schedule for Saturday, May 18th

8:30a - 9:00a  
CHARLES PASCAL  
WB6CIY  
WEBCAST HOST  
"BLAZING PADDLES"

9:00a - 9:30a  
SANDY ANDRE  
N6TFO  
MMSN NETCONTROL / CO-HOST

9:30a - 10:00a

10:00a - 10:30a

CAROLYNN CONLEY

KD5JSO

N.A.S.A. SPACE PROGRAM ENGINEER

10:30a - 11:00a

STEVE BIBLE N7HPR

K.I.S.S. PACKET

11:00a - 11:30a

JIM HAYNIE W5JBR

A.R.R.L. PRESIDENT

11:30a - 12:00p

BOB BRUNIGA

WB4APR

APRS IN HAM RADIO COMMUNICATIONS

12:30p - 1:00p

DIANE ORTIZ K2DO

YOUNG WOMEN IN HAM RADIO GROUP

1:30p - 2:00p

ROBERT ROSS N20ML

C.B.S. CHIEF ENGINEER

AND HAM RADIO ENTHUSIAST

2:00p - 2:30p

KEITH SPROUL WU2Z

APRS COMMUNICATIONS

2:30p - 3:00p

RICHARD MOSESON

W2VU

C.Q. MAGAZINE EDITOR

3:00p - 3:30p

GORDON WEST

WB6NOA

## ADVENTURES IN HAM RADIO/ BOATING

3:30p - 4:00p

JOE EISENBERG

K0NEB

KIT BUILDING

4:00p - 4:30p

JOHN KENNON

N7CQQ

TUSCON AMATEUR RADIO

PACKET RADIO GROUP

4:30p - 5:00p

GEORGE VARVITSIOTES K6SV

HAM RADIO OUTLET STORES

## Schedule for Sunday, May 19th

8:30a - 9:00a

PASCAL WB6CIY

HOST

ANDRE N6TFO

CO-HOST

10:00a - 10:30a

LEO FAHMIE KJ6HI

KENWOOD CORPORATION

REPRESENTATIVE/DX EXPERT

10:30a - 11:00a

JOE WALSH

EAGLES RECORDING STAR / HAM RADIO

11:00a - 11:30a

RILEY HOLLONGSWORTH

K4ZDH

FCC ENFORCEMENT

11:30a - 12:00p

GORDON WEST

WB6NOA

MARINE AMATEUR RADIO

12:00p - 12:30p  
BOB HEIL K9EID  
HEIL MICROPHONES  
20TH ANNIVERSARY

12:30p - 1:00p  
JIM HAYNIE W5JBP  
A.R.R.L. PRESIDENT

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 14:55:30 -0400  
From: "Charles W3KC" <w3kc@starpower.net>  
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126825] Re: CCR's & QRP  
Message-ID: <002601c1fdd4\$bc1d1080\$6d152c42@w3kc>

Tim says:  
> Heh, heh. We'll let the lawyers quibble over that one! You say ham,  
> I say "radio amateur".

And the pig says "I DON'T want to be a Ham!"

72 de Chas W3KC  
1963 Volvo 122

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 15:30:19 -0400  
From: nilsbull@juno.com  
To: QRP-L@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126826] Dayton report: Satellite Number Three  
Message-ID: <20020517.153251.-366511.1.nilsbull@juno.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang,

It's rainin'. Yep, you should have expected it. All morning it was barely  
past passable -- 50 degrees and windy with lead-sheet sky -- but when I



came home & took a nap, I woke up to rain. Not big time but enough.

There were three Argonauts for sale in the flea market. And one HW8. I looked at the Argonauts, remembered mine & decided I didn't need to spend the money. The HW8 almost caught me, but I remembered how many articles there must be written on making the HW-series into real radios & I lost interest quick.

If I gotta get out the slobberin' iron to chance modify something, I ain't interested. Cindy won't let me keep the gun loaded any more. That incident with the last building thing was the corker.

So tomorrry I'll go back & drool some more. Remind myself to take the paperwork on the radio what I need to talk to the manufacturer about . . . a customer service thing. I guess I've been ruint by Elecraft & Wilderness & Dave Benson & all them people. Them, they respond to emails. These other big-time hot shots, them, well, they's different, see?

Not nearly as many towers on trailers this year as there has been before. Hope I find one poor soul who dragged his 40 fter all the way from Minnesota in hopes of makin' his wife happy (and mine less than . . . another customer service thing . . . domestic style . . . old school).

73

Nils

. . . now don'tcha just wish you'd bought that ticket? and a raincoat? where's my umbrella? the one with the grounding strap?

-----

Nils R. Bull Young -- El Gringo Errante --

La Estancia de los Guajolotes Sonrientes -- W8IJN --

<http://w8ijn.tripod.com>

"The island is closer than your memories are." -- Ian G. Bull Young, 11 Feb 2002

-----  
GET INTERNET ACCESS FROM JUNO!

Juno offers FREE or PREMIUM Internet access for less!

Join Juno today! For your FREE software, visit:

<http://dl.www.juno.com/get/web/>.

-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 16:03:41 -0400

From: BOB MASON <skydive@usa.net>

To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [126827] DROP TESTING: K1Touch Up paint needed

Message-ID: <20020517200341.10554.qmail@cmsweb26.cms.usa.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

I may have mentioned that the K1 has been going skydiving with me. After= parachute opening, I lower a 65ft hanging wire with a little weight and have a couple minutes to try to make contacts ( made several so far ).

Anyway, K1 #1150 probably now holds the record for drop survival ( kinda)= it separated from my harness on parachute opening shock at roughly 3500 ft. = it took three hours to find it under the tree it went through. =

#### Damages:

Shattered LCD, Tuning pot shaft broken off ( took a looong time to find the knob 30 feet away. ) slight but noticable front panel bend. That's it. = The goofy thing still works. I have to tune using tweezers to grab the shaft= stub and have no idea what frequency I'm on, but it works!

I straightened the panel pretty well, but will have to order a new pot and LCD.

Questions: =

Anybody know of a steel shafted pot that might replace the plastic one? =

Has anybody located a suitable touch-up paint for the cabinets??

72

Bob WB8CAC  
K1 #1150, QRP-ARCI 11116, FISTS 6970, CP-35, SHOE SIZE 10 1/2  
<http://web.infoave.net/~masoste/wb8cac>

-----

Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 16:37:21 -0400  
From: "Bruce Shaw" <ag4ny@ivwnet.com>  
To: <hoglund@wfu.edu>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>  
Subject: [126828] Re: Reasonable Accommodation  
Message-ID: <005d01c1fdeb\$712cfc40\$baf8e243@oemcomputer>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Meet with the local homeowners association, explain the use of amateur radio during emergencies and ask them if they would like to be excluded from your services just as you are excluded from putting up a modest antenna to provide those services?

Bruce Shaw  
ag4ny  
Gibsonville NC

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 16:45:06 -0400  
From: Paul Womble <pwomble1@tampabay.rr.com>  
To: FP List <fpqrp-l@mpna.com>, QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126829] W8PIG Special Event Station Tonight  
Message-ID: <3CE56BD2.1D42382A@tampabay.rr.com>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Look for W8PIG/FDIM operating tonight from FDIM in Dayton starting at 9pm Eastern. We will start somewhere around 7043 +/-.

A special qsl card will be available. Please send a qsl with SASE to the callbook address.

FDIM pics are posted online: <http://www.qsl.net/k4fb>

I'll be posting new pics later this evening including some shots of the W8PIG/FDIM station.

73  
Paul K4FB/8

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 16:49:19 -0400  
From: Macstein@aol.com  
To: pwomble1@tampabay.rr.com (Paul Womble), fpqrp-l@mpna.com (FP List),  
qrp-l@lehigh.edu (QRP-L)  
Subject: [126830] Re: [fpqrp] W8PIG Special Event Station Tonight  
Message-ID: <0500587F.04F9A534.00790130@aol.com>  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

ARGH! I will do my very best to catch you. I will throw another wire up in a tree and give it a shot. I hope to be at home by 9:30pm Eastern. Listen for me Pauly!!!!

-MAC-  
AF4PS  
FP-51

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 16:58:36 -0400  
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>  
To: <ag4ny@ivwnet.com>,  
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>  
Subject: [126831] Re: Reasonable Accommodation  
Message-ID: <000f01c1fde5\$9e2be720\$0200a8c0@charter.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain;  
charset="iso-8859-1"  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

You know, that is EXACTLY the attitude that will get you nowhere, and will make them look for you 'circumventing' the rules with a microscope.

If you're smart, you approach the owners, neighbors, and management, and let them know you are going to do a 'minimalist' setup, and you want them to be advised so that they can take advantage of it if needed. Done right, you'll get neighbors with suggestions of 'oh yeah, if you do this...' kind of thing. They'll feel part of it, and you may even get things changed.

You'll never change an attitude by threatening to exclude them. You'll only change an attitude by offering to include them, and making them realize how much MORE they could be included if only...

Mike

----- Original Message -----

From: "Bruce Shaw" <ag4ny@ivwnet.com>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

> Meet with the local homeowners association, explain the use of amateur  
radio  
> during emergencies and ask them if they would like to be excluded from  
your  
> services just as you are excluded from putting up a modest antenna to  
> provide those services?  
>  
> Bruce Shaw  
> ag4ny  
> Gibsonville NC

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 17:20:38 -0400

From: Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>

To: atrail@yahoogroups.com

Cc: qrp-1@lehigh.edu

Subject: [126832] NH AT, Saturday or maybe Sunday

Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20020517172038.007a9ba0@mailhost.ncia.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Depending on the Wx, I will be going up to the top of Mt Hayes, a little  
2555 foot bump which the AT passes over, not far from here. The forecast for  
tomorrow sounds a little "iffy", so Sunday might be the better day.

I'll be trying SSB for a change. Will try to find a spot near 14.285 and  
expect to be on sometime between 2 and 3 pm EDT (18/19:00 UTC) for a couple  
of hours maybe. Depends on Wx, band activity / conditions and how long the  
battery lasts :-)

I repackaged my WM-20 in a rugged extruded alum case and added a DDS VFO  
module and 10 watt linear amp. Wieghs a good 1 1/4 lb, and needs a 5 lb  
gell cell to run it :-)

If this works out, I might lug the rig up to the top of Mt Adams, 5798 ft,  
the second highest mountain in New England for Memorial weekend.

72,  
Steve, KD1JV  
"Melt Solder"  
White Mountains of New Hampshire  
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 17:05:59 -0400  
From: Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>  
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu  
Subject: [126833] NorCal BLT  
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20020517170559.007a8620@mailhost.ncia.net>  
Mime-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

I stopped by the PO this morning and low and behold, my BLT had arrived! Of course, I immediately sat down and built it. Pretty nice kit. Love the clear plastic cover for the pcb box :-) If you haven't already ordered one of these, I'd recommend you do so while some are still available.

For those that haven't built thiers yet, here's a few tips I found. When you build the pcb box, leave off the side panel on the side where the SWR bridge is built. This makes it easier to get in there with a soldering iron while your attaching wires.

I made a mistake and mounted the front panel up side down, which turned out to be a good mistake. The instructions show the led mounted below the "operate/SWR" switch and I put the front panel on so the led is above the switch. This I belive makes it easier to see the led. It also allowed me to mount the resistors for the SWR bridge directly on, and hangning off the switch, rathier than building the bridge "Manhattan style". Mounting the resistors direclty on the switch reduces the lead length significantly and is bound to improve it's operation at higher frequencies.

Okay, can't wait to try this BLT with my 88 foot doublet, feed with 75 ohm ladder line.

72,  
Steve, KD1JV  
"Melt Solder"  
White Mountains of New Hampshire  
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

-----  
Date: Fri, 17 May 2002 18:17:21 -0400  
From: "Juan A. Bertolin" <ea5xq@qsl.net>  
To: QRP - LIST ADDRESS <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>,

GQRP - LIST ADDRESS <GQRP@yahooogroups.com>  
Subject: [126834] Manuals/schematics of SWB30  
Message-ID: <3CE58171.65CE9911@qsl.net>  
MIME-Version: 1.0  
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi to everybody,

I have the SWB30 unit from Howes (SWR/Power meter) but unfortunately I lost the manual/schematics that came with it and as I want to apply a change on it I rather to do it with the manual.

By chance, any of you would have in electronic format the manual of SWB30?

Thanks in before hand

73s Juan

--

=====

EA5XQ, QRA:Juan, QTH:Almazora, LOC:IM99XW  
Clubs: URE, G-QRP #9805, QRP-L #1461, EA-QRP #471  
@@  
Web Site: <http://www.qsl.net/ea5xq> (english version in  
<http://www.qsl.net/ea5xq/Indexeng.html> )

=====

-----

End of QRP-L Digest 2558

\*\*\*\*\*

-----